

WASHINGTON, DC 20006

TAND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. 04329.2558 9083 09/817,197 03/27/2001 Naoya Hayamizu 10/02/2002 22852 7590 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & **EXAMINER DUNNER LLP** MARKOFF, ALEXANDER 1300 I STREET, NW

> ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> > 1746

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/817,197	HAYAMIZU, NAOYA
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Alexander Markoff	1746
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>3/27/01-8/20/01</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-23</u> is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-18 and 21-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 <i>March 2001</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, 12, 19 and 20, drawn to a method, classified in class 134, subclass 1.
 - II. Claims 13-18 and 21-23, drawn to a method, classified in class 134, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation.

The invention of Group I require application of one or two waves not continuously. The invention of Group II requires application of a plurality pf waves continuously.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Richard Burgugian on 9/27/02 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-10, 12, 19 and 20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Application/Control Number: 09/817,197

Art Unit: 1746

Office action. Claims 13-18 and 21-23 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 2, 7, 8, 12, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is indefinite because it is not clear what is referenced as a "pulse-like carrier wave". What is "pulse-like"?

Claim 7 is indefinite because it requires the waves being applied at predetermined time intervals but fails to recite how these intervals should be determined or specified.

Claims 8 and 12 are indefinite because the term "said ultrasonic wave" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim 19 is indefinite because it requires the wave being turned on and off at specific time intervals but fails to recite how these intervals should be determined or specified.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,197

Art Unit: 1746

Claim 20 is indefinite because it contradicts to the parent claim by requiring a continuous repeating of the steps, while the parent claim requires applying the waves at predetermined time intervals.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United' States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 8. Claims 1-10, 12, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Puskas (US Patent No 6,313,565).

Puskas teaches a method as claimed. See entire reference, especially Figures, 6, 8B, 8C and the related description and Summary of the Invention.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patents No 5656095; 5865199; 6019852; 5523058; and

Application/Control Number: 09/817,197

Art Unit: 1746

6,276370 are cited to show the state of the prior art with respect to ultrasonic cleaning methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 703-308-7545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on 703-308-4333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7719 for regular communications and 703-305-7718 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

am September 29, 2002

ALEXANDER MARKOFF PRIMARY EXAMINER